

Coalgebraic Perspectives on Abstract State Machines

Daniel Schreckling Eric Rothstein

IT-Security Group
University of Passau
Passau, Germany

BIOMICS Summer Workshop
June 2014

Coalgebraic and Monadic Perspectives on Abstract State Machines

Daniel Schreckling Eric Rothstein

IT-Security Group
University of Passau
Passau, Germany

BIOMICS Summer Workshop
June 2014

Research Agenda in BIOMICS

(Partial) Goal

- ▶ Definition and implementation of an interaction machine
- ▶ Specification of computation through *behaviour*
- ▶ Machine and specifications reflect construction principles known from bio-chemical systems

Research Agenda in BIOMICS

(Partial) Goal

- ▶ Definition and implementation of an interaction machine
- ▶ Specification of computation through *behaviour*
- ▶ Machine and specifications reflect construction principles known from bio-chemical systems

Research Steps (Backup plan)

Research Agenda in BIOMICS

(Partial) Goal

- ▶ Definition and implementation of an interaction machine
- ▶ Specification of computation through *behaviour*
- ▶ Machine and specifications reflect construction principles known from bio-chemical systems

Research Steps (Backup plan)

- ▶ Describe structures and dynamics of systems using (co)algebra
- ▶ Find a specification language which can be modelled using (co)algebra
- ▶ Use category theory to map (co)algebras of system to (co)algebraic properties of the language

ASM: Candidate for the specification language in BIOMICS

ASM: Candidate for the specification language in BIOMICS

Requirements

- ▶ Understanding of the modelling methodology and formalities
- ▶ **Mapping structural and dynamical ASM properties to (co)algebras**
- ▶ Defining Links between other system (from biology) and ASMs
- ▶ Refine expressive power of ASMs to a language we need

Contents

Motivation

- Research Agenda in BIOMICS

- Motivation Behind this Paper

ASMs vs. Coalgebraic Specifications

- Abstract State Machine Specifications

- Coalgebras and their Specifications

Potential Insights for ASMs

- From a Coalgebraic Perspective

- From a Monadic Perspective

First Coalgebraic and Monadic Perspective on ASMs

Next Steps and Conclusions

Motivation

- ▶ Main Idea of Abstract State Machines
 - ▶ Combines developments of formal logic in decades
 - ▶ Tarski: structures, including functions and predicates over real world items (most general mathematical framework)
 - ▶ First order logic: developed to define and analyze structures
 - ▶ ASMs are direct consequence: introduce algorithms on real world objects

Motivation

- ▶ Main Idea of Abstract State Machines
 - ▶ Combines developments of formal logic in decades
 - ▶ Tarski: structures, including functions and predicates over real world items (most general mathematical framework)
 - ▶ First order logic: developed to define and analyze structures
 - ▶ ASMs are direct consequence: introduce algorithms on real world objects
- ▶ What to use it for?
 - ▶ Description of procedures involving real world items
 - ▶ Specifying steps of dynamic, discrete systems
 - ▶ Verification of software models
 - ▶ ...

Motivation

- ▶ Main Idea of Abstract State Machines
 - ▶ Combines developments of formal logic in decades
 - ▶ Tarski: structures, including functions and predicates over real world items (most general mathematical framework)
 - ▶ First order logic: developed to define and analyze structures
 - ▶ ASMs are direct consequence: introduce algorithms on real world objects
- ▶ What to use it for?
 - ▶ Description of procedures involving real world items
 - ▶ Specifying steps of dynamic, discrete systems
 - ▶ Verification of software models
 - ▶ ...
- ▶ Why Abstract States?
 - ▶ Systems are described using different levels of abstraction
 - ▶ Details and semantics of states determine abstraction

Abstract States — A Definition

- ▶ Let Υ denote a finite **Vocabulary** of tuples (f, k)
 - ▶ f are names (character sequences) of functions and relations
 - ▶ k specifies their arity f
 - ▶ $(true, 0)$, $(false, 0)$, $(\perp, 0)$, $(Boole, 0)$ are in every Υ
 - ▶ Boolean operators and equality sign are in Υ

Abstract States — A Definition

- ▶ Let Υ denote a finite **Vocabulary** of tuples (f, k)
 - ▶ f are names (character sequences) of functions and relations
 - ▶ k specifies their arity f
 - ▶ $(true, 0)$, $(false, 0)$, $(\perp, 0)$, $(Boole, 0)$ are in every Υ
 - ▶ Boolean operators and equality sign are in Υ
- ▶ Let $\mathcal{T}(\Upsilon)$ denote a set of **Terms**
 - ▶ if $(f, 0) \in \Upsilon$, then $f \in \mathcal{T}(\Upsilon)$
 - ▶ if $(f, k) \in \Upsilon$, $t_1, \dots, t_k \in \mathcal{T}(\Upsilon)$, then $f(t_1, \dots, t_k) \in \mathcal{T}(\Upsilon)$.

Abstract States — A Definition

- ▶ Let Υ denote a finite **Vocabulary** of tuples (f, k)
 - ▶ f are names (character sequences) of functions and relations
 - ▶ k specifies their arity f
 - ▶ $(true, 0)$, $(false, 0)$, $(\perp, 0)$, $(Boole, 0)$ are in every Υ
 - ▶ Boolean operators and equality sign are in Υ
- ▶ Let $\mathcal{T}(\Upsilon)$ denote a set of **Terms**
 - ▶ if $(f, 0) \in \Upsilon$, then $f \in \mathcal{T}(\Upsilon)$
 - ▶ if $(f, k) \in \Upsilon$, $t_1, \dots, t_k \in \mathcal{T}(\Upsilon)$, then $f(t_1, \dots, t_k) \in \mathcal{T}(\Upsilon)$.
- ▶ Let $\mathcal{S}(\Upsilon)$ denote the set of all **Structures** S where S satisfies
 - ▶ it has a fixed *base set* X
 - ▶ it has an interpretation \mathcal{I}_S for functions/terms in Υ

Abstract States — A Definition

- ▶ Let Υ denote a finite **Vocabulary** of tuples (f, k)
 - ▶ f are names (character sequences) of functions and relations
 - ▶ k specifies their arity f
 - ▶ $(true, 0)$, $(false, 0)$, $(\perp, 0)$, $(Boole, 0)$ are in every Υ
 - ▶ Boolean operators and equality sign are in Υ
- ▶ Let $\mathcal{T}(\Upsilon)$ denote a set of **Terms**
 - ▶ if $(f, 0) \in \Upsilon$, then $f \in \mathcal{T}(\Upsilon)$
 - ▶ if $(f, k) \in \Upsilon$, $t_1, \dots, t_k \in \mathcal{T}(\Upsilon)$, then $f(t_1, \dots, t_k) \in \mathcal{T}(\Upsilon)$.
- ▶ Let $\mathcal{S}(\Upsilon)$ denote the set of all **Structures** S where S satisfies
 - ▶ it has a fixed *base set* X
 - ▶ it has an interpretation \mathcal{I}_S for functions/terms in Υ
- ▶ **States** are described by (first-order) structures

Updates Transit Between Abstract States

- ▶ Primitive updates
 - ▶ consider states S as memory space
 - ▶ if $(f, k) \in \Upsilon$ and the k -tuple \bar{a} has elements from base set X , (f, \bar{a}) is a **location**
 - ▶ let (f, \bar{a}, b) denote an **update** of location \bar{a} with b
 - ▶ change in memory Δ by update u is denoted by $\Delta(u, S)$

Updates Transit Between Abstract States

- ▶ Primitive updates
 - ▶ consider states S as memory space
 - ▶ if $(f, k) \in \Upsilon$ and the k -tuple \bar{a} has elements from base set X , (f, \bar{a}) is a **location**
 - ▶ let (f, \bar{a}, b) denote an **update** of location \bar{a} with b
 - ▶ change in memory Δ by update u is denoted by $\Delta(u, S)$
- ▶ Update rules
 - ▶ terms as arguments allow for programming with updates
 - ▶ update rules R of vocabulary Υ have the form

$$f(t_1, \dots, t_k) := t_0$$
 with k -ary function f and terms t_0, \dots, t_k
 - ▶ firing updates now requires evaluating the terms in a state S

Updates Transit Between Abstract States

- ▶ Primitive updates
 - ▶ consider states S as memory space
 - ▶ if $(f, k) \in \Upsilon$ and the k -tuple \bar{a} has elements from base set X , (f, \bar{a}) is a **location**
 - ▶ let (f, \bar{a}, b) denote an **update** of location \bar{a} with b
 - ▶ change in memory Δ by update u is denoted by $\Delta(u, S)$
- ▶ Update rules
 - ▶ terms as arguments allow for programming with updates
 - ▶ update rules R of vocabulary Υ have the form

$$f(t_1, \dots, t_k) := t_0$$
 with k -ary function f and terms t_0, \dots, t_k
 - ▶ firing updates now requires evaluating the terms in a state S
- ▶ Parallel updates are grouped in a par rule R
 - ▶ allows to group multiple update rules R_1, \dots, R_j
 - ▶ change of memory in S : $\Delta(R, S) = \Delta(R_1, S) \cup \dots \cup \Delta(R_j, S)$

Conditional Rules and Programs for ASMs

- ▶ Conditional rule R

- ▶ Boolean term ϕ over Υ
- ▶ Rules R_1, R_2 in Υ

`if ϕ then R_1 else R_2 endif`

- ▶ Common semantics, i.e. $\Delta(R, S) = \Delta(R_1, S)$ if ϕ evaluates to true in S , $\Delta(R_2, S)$ otherwise

Conditional Rules and Programs for ASMs

- ▶ Conditional rule R
 - ▶ Boolean term ϕ over Υ
 - ▶ Rules R_1, R_2 in Υ

`if ϕ then R_1 else R_2 endif`

- ▶ Common semantics, i.e. $\Delta(R, S) = \Delta(R_1, S)$ if ϕ evaluates to true in S , $\Delta(R_2, S)$ otherwise
- ▶ Program Π over Υ
 - ▶ Π is a rule over Υ
 - ▶ $\Delta(\Pi, S)$ is well defined for every state S defined by Υ
 - ▶ changes applied to S by Π are defined by $\tau_\Pi(S) = S + \Delta(\Pi, S)$

A Small Example for an ASM Rule/Program

- ▶ Input: $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$
- ▶ Output: $d = \text{gcd}(a, b)$
- ▶ A single step in the Euclidean Algorithm can be described by

```

if      b = 0 then d := a
else if b = 1 then d := 1
else
  par
    a := b
    b := a mod b
  endpar
endif

```

$(a = 12, b = 6, d = \perp)_{S_1}$

A Small Example for an ASM Rule/Program

- ▶ Input: $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$
- ▶ Output: $d = \text{gcd}(a, b)$
- ▶ A single step in the Euclidean Algorithm can be described by

```

if      b = 0 then d := a
else if b = 1 then d := 1
else
  par
    a := b
    b := a mod b
  endpar
endif

```

$$(a = 12, b = 6, d = \perp)_{s_1} \rightarrow (6, 0, \perp)_{s_2}$$

A Small Example for an ASM Rule/Program

- ▶ Input: $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$
- ▶ Output: $d = \text{gcd}(a, b)$
- ▶ A single step in the Euclidean Algorithm can be described by

```

if      b = 0 then d := a
else if b = 1 then d := 1
else
  par
    a := b
    b := a mod b
  endpar
endif

```

$(a = 12, b = 6, d = \perp)_{S_1} \rightarrow (6, 0, \perp)_{S_2} \rightarrow (6, 0, 6)_{S_3}$

Abstract State Machine (ASM)

Definition

An (sequential) ASM M is denoted by $M = (\Upsilon, \Pi, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{I}, \tau)$

- ▶ Vocabulary Υ
- ▶ Program Π over Υ
- ▶ Base set \mathcal{B}
- ▶ Set of abstract states $\mathcal{S}(\Pi)$
- ▶ Set of initial states $\mathcal{I}(\Pi) \subset \mathcal{S}(\Pi)$
- ▶ transition function τ_Π

Coalgebras — A Definition

Definition (F-Coalgebras)

Given are a category $\mathcal{C} = (O, M, s, t, c)$ and functor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. $\mathbb{C} = \langle C, \tau \rangle_F$ is called F -coalgebra, if $C \in O$, and $\tau \in M$ with $\tau: C \rightarrow F(C)$. C is called *carrier 'set'* (or state space) and τ is called *structure* (or transition) of coalgebra \mathbb{C} .

Coalgebras Structure Codomains

- ▶ Imperative programs without side-effects, exceptions, non-termination, etc.

 $i := 5$ $S \xrightarrow{i:=5} S$

Coalgebras Structure Codomains

- ▶ Imperative programs without side-effects, exceptions, non-termination, etc.

$$i := 5 \qquad S \xrightarrow{i:=5} S$$

- ▶ Extend state set to account for non-termination by introducing new symbol \perp with $S_{\perp} = S \cup \{\perp\}$

$$\text{statement} \qquad S_{\perp} \xrightarrow{\text{statement}} S_{\perp}$$

Coalgebras Structure Codomains

- ▶ Imperative programs without side-effects, exceptions, non-termination, etc.

$$i := 5 \qquad S \xrightarrow{i:=5} S$$

- ▶ Extend state set to account for non-termination by introducing new symbol \perp with $S_{\perp} = S \cup \{\perp\}$

$$\text{statement} \qquad S_{\perp} \xrightarrow{\text{statement}} S_{\perp}$$

- ▶ Account for exceptions E

$$S \cup \{\perp\} \cup (S \times E) \xrightarrow{\text{statement}} S \cup \{\perp\} \cup (S \times E)$$

Coalgebras Structure Codomains

- ▶ Imperative programs without side-effects, exceptions, non-termination, etc.

$$i := 5 \qquad S \xrightarrow{i := 5} S$$

- ▶ Extend state set to account for non-termination by introducing new symbol \perp with $S_{\perp} = S \cup \{\perp\}$

$$\text{statement} \qquad S_{\perp} \xrightarrow{\text{statement}} S_{\perp}$$

- ▶ Account for exceptions E

$$S \cup \{\perp\} \cup (S \times E) \xrightarrow{\text{statement}} S \cup \{\perp\} \cup (S \times E)$$

Question

What if we kept a constant state set and structure the codomain?

Coalgebras are about Observations

- ▶ Consider sequences A^∞ over a set A
 - ▶ finite sequences $A^* = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle$
 - ▶ infinite sequences $A^\mathbb{N} = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots \rangle$
 - ▶ all sequences $A^\infty = A^* \cup A^\mathbb{N}$
- ▶ Consider function *next*

$$\text{next} : A^\infty \longrightarrow \{\perp\} \cup (A \times A^\infty) \quad (1)$$

defined by the total function σ with symbol \perp

$$\sigma \longmapsto \begin{cases} \perp & \text{if } \sigma \text{ is the empty sequence} \\ (a, \sigma') & \text{if } \sigma = a \cdot \sigma' \text{ with head } a \text{ and tail } \sigma' \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

- ▶ Applying *next* generates all *observable* elements of A^∞

Coalgebras and Behaviours

- ▶ Properties of the function *next*
 - ▶ coalgebra of type $\{\perp\} \cup (A \times (-))$
 - ▶ terminal (final) coalgebra among all F-coalgebras with $F(S) = \{\perp\} \cup (A \times S)$

Coalgebras and Behaviours

- ▶ Properties of the function *next*
 - ▶ coalgebra of type $\{\perp\} \cup (A \times (-))$
 - ▶ terminal (final) coalgebra among all F-coalgebras with $F(S) = \{\perp\} \cup (A \times S)$
- ▶ Implications of Terminality
 - ▶ final coalgebras (objects) are unique up to isomorphism
 - ▶ there is a unique *behaviour* function $beh_c : S \rightarrow A^\infty$ for any F-coalgebra with state space S and $c : S \rightarrow \{\perp\} \cup (A \times S)$

Coalgebras and Behaviours

- ▶ Properties of the function *next*
 - ▶ coalgebra of type $\{\perp\} \cup (A \times (-))$
 - ▶ terminal (final) coalgebra among all F-coalgebras with $F(S) = \{\perp\} \cup (A \times S)$
- ▶ Implications of Terminality
 - ▶ final coalgebras (objects) are unique up to isomorphism
 - ▶ there is a unique *behaviour* function $beh_c : S \rightarrow A^\infty$ for any F-coalgebra with state space S and $c : S \rightarrow \{\perp\} \cup (A \times S)$
 - ▶ beh_c is a homomorphism of coalgebras

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \{\perp\} \cup (A \times S) & \xrightarrow{id \cup (id \times beh_c)} & \perp \cup (A \times A^\infty) \\
 \uparrow c & & \uparrow next \\
 S & \xrightarrow{beh_c} & A^\infty
 \end{array}$$

Terminal F -coalgebras and their Existence

- ▶ Terminal F -coalgebra determines behaviour for any coalgebra in category $\mathbf{CoAlg}(F)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 F(X) & \xrightarrow{F(\text{beh}_c)} & F(Z) \\
 \uparrow c & & \uparrow \zeta \\
 X & \xrightarrow{\text{beh}_c} & Z
 \end{array}$$

Terminal F-coalgebras and their Existence

- ▶ Terminal F -coalgebra determines behaviour for any coalgebra in category $\mathbf{CoAlg}(F)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 F(X) & \xrightarrow{F(\text{beh}_c)} & F(Z) \\
 \uparrow c & & \uparrow \zeta \\
 X & \xrightarrow{\text{beh}_c} & Z
 \end{array}$$

- ▶ Unfortunately: No guarantee that terminal F -coalgebra exists

Terminal F-coalgebras and their Existence

- ▶ Terminal F -coalgebra determines behaviour for any coalgebra in category $\mathbf{CoAlg}(F)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 F(X) & \xrightarrow{F(\text{beh}_c)} & F(Z) \\
 \uparrow c & & \uparrow \zeta \\
 X & \xrightarrow{\text{beh}_c} & Z
 \end{array}$$

- ▶ Unfortunately: No guarantee that terminal F -coalgebra exists
- ▶ But: Finite Kripke polynomial functors have terminal F -coalg.
- ▶ Various non-trivial methods to find terminal coalgebras

Bisimilarity and F-coalgebras

Comparing systems using a terminal coalgebra is *simple*

Bisimilarity and F-coalgebras

Comparing systems using a terminal coalgebra is *simple*

What if the terminal coalgebra does not exist or is hard to find?

Bisimilarity and F-coalgebras

Comparing systems using a terminal coalgebra is *simple*

What if the terminal coalgebra does not exist or is hard to find?

Bisimulation is a means for comparing *behaviour*

Bisimilarity and F-coalgebras

Comparing systems using a terminal coalgebra is *simple*

What if the terminal coalgebra does not exist or is hard to find?

Bisimulation is a means for comparing *behaviour*

▶ Relation lifting

- ▶ $F: \mathbf{Set} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ be a polynomial functor
- ▶ X, Y arbitrary objects in \mathbf{Set}
- ▶ Relation lift sends $R \subseteq X \times Y$ to $Rel(F)(R) \subseteq F(X) \times F(Y)$

Bisimilarity and F-coalgebras

Comparing systems using a terminal coalgebra is *simple*

What if the terminal coalgebra does not exist or is hard to find?

Bisimulation is a means for comparing *behaviour*

▶ Relation lifting

- ▶ $F: \mathbf{Set} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ be a polynomial functor
- ▶ X, Y arbitrary objects in \mathbf{Set}
- ▶ Relation lift sends $R \subseteq X \times Y$ to $Rel(F)(R) \subseteq F(X) \times F(Y)$

▶ Bisimulation

- ▶ Let $c: X \rightarrow F(X)$ and $d: Y \rightarrow F(Y)$ be two coalgebras on F .
- ▶ Relation $R \subseteq X \times Y$ describes the bisimulation for c and d which is closed under c and d :

$$\forall x \in X, y \in Y, (x, y) \in R \Rightarrow (c(x), d(y)) \in Rel(F)(R)$$

Bisimilarity and F-coalgebras

Comparing systems using a terminal coalgebra is *simple*

What if the terminal coalgebra does not exist or is hard to find?

Bisimulation is a means for comparing *behaviour*

▶ Relation lifting

- ▶ $F: \mathbf{Set} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ be a polynomial functor
- ▶ X, Y arbitrary objects in \mathbf{Set}
- ▶ Relation lift sends $R \subseteq X \times Y$ to $Rel(F)(R) \subseteq F(X) \times F(Y)$

▶ Bisimulation

- ▶ Let $c: X \rightarrow F(X)$ and $d: Y \rightarrow F(Y)$ be two coalgebras on F .
- ▶ Relation $R \subseteq X \times Y$ describes the bisimulation for c and d which is closed under c and d :

$$\forall x \in X, y \in Y, (x, y) \in R \Rightarrow (c(x), d(y)) \in Rel(F)(R)$$

▶ Bisimilarity: Union of all bisimulations

More Potential Insights

- ▶ Specification Refinements
- ▶ Feasible Logics for BIOMICS ASMs (rather counterintuitive)
- ▶ Monads and their Adjunctions

Monads

Definition (Monad)

A monad (T, η, μ) in a category \mathcal{C} consists of an endofunctor $T: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ with the following two natural transformations

- ▶ **unit** $\eta: \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow T$
- ▶ **multiplication** $\mu: T^2 \rightarrow T$

for which the following two diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 T^3 & \xrightarrow{\mu \circ T} & T^2 \\
 T \circ \mu \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu \\
 T^2 & \xrightarrow{\mu} & T
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 T & \xrightarrow{T \circ \eta} & T^2 & \xleftarrow{\eta \circ T} & T \\
 & \searrow & \downarrow \mu & \swarrow & \\
 & & T & &
 \end{array}$$

First Attempt to Model ASMs using Coalgebra

ASM	Coalgebra
Vocabulary: Functions, Relations, Terms	Given implicitly by a functor, e.g. $T(X) = \prod_i (B_i + C_i \times X)^{A_i}$

First Attempt to Model ASMs using Coalgebra

ASM	Coalgebra
Vocabulary: Functions, Relations, Terms	Given implicitly by a functor, e.g. $T(X) = \prod_i (B_i + C_i \times X)^{A_i}$
Base Set \mathcal{B}	arbitrary A_i, B_i, C_i , fixed by T

First Attempt to Model ASMs using Coalgebra

ASM	Coalgebra
Vocabulary: Functions, Relations, Terms	Given implicitly by a functor, e.g. $T(X) = \prod_i (B_i + C_i \times X)^{A_i}$
Base Set \mathcal{B}	arbitrary A_i, B_i, C_i , fixed by T
Abstract State	Carrier set U and attributes A

First Attempt to Model ASMs using Coalgebra

ASM	Coalgebra
Vocabulary: Functions, Relations, Terms	Given implicitly by a functor, e.g. $T(X) = \prod_i (B_i + C_i \times X)^{A_i}$
Base Set \mathcal{B}	arbitrary A_i, B_i, C_i , fixed by T
Abstract State	Carrier set U and attributes A
Interpretation in a state	Coalgebra $c : U \rightarrow T(U)$ (incl. $c_i : U \times A_i \rightarrow B_i + C_i \times U$)

First Attempt to Model ASMs using Coalgebra

ASM	Coalgebra
Vocabulary: Functions, Relations, Terms	Given implicitly by a functor, e.g. $T(X) = \prod_i (B_i + C_i \times X)^{A_i}$
Base Set \mathcal{B}	arbitrary A_i, B_i, C_i , fixed by T
Abstract State	Carrier set U and attributes A
Interpretation in a state	Coalgebra $c : U \rightarrow T(U)$ (incl. $c_i : U \times A_i \rightarrow B_i + C_i \times U$)
Initial states \mathcal{I}	Initial element $u_0 \in U$

First Attempt to Model ASMs using Coalgebra

ASM	Coalgebra
Vocabulary: Functions, Relations, Terms	Given implicitly by a functor, e.g. $T(X) = \prod_i (B_i + C_i \times X)^{A_i}$
Base Set \mathcal{B}	arbitrary A_i, B_i, C_i , fixed by T
Abstract State	Carrier set U and attributes A
Interpretation in a state	Coalgebra $c : U \rightarrow T(U)$ (incl. $c_i : U \times A_i \rightarrow B_i + C_i \times U$)
Initial states \mathcal{I}	Initial element $u_0 \in U$
Transitions τ_{Π}	Coalgebra $c : U \rightarrow T(U)$

First Attempt to Model ASMs using Coalgebra

ASM	Coalgebra
Vocabulary: Functions, Relations, Terms	Given implicitly by a functor, e.g. $T(X) = \prod_i (B_i + C_i \times X)^{A_i}$
Base Set \mathcal{B}	arbitrary A_i, B_i, C_i , fixed by T
Abstract State	Carrier set U and attributes A
Interpretation in a state	Coalgebra $c : U \rightarrow T(U)$ (incl. $c_i : U \times A_i \rightarrow B_i + C_i \times U$)
Initial states \mathcal{I}	Initial element $u_0 \in U$
Transitions τ_{Π}	Coalgebra $c : U \rightarrow T(U)$
Composition	Monad M_{ASM}

First Attempt to Model ASMs using Coalgebra

ASM	Coalgebra
Vocabulary: Functions, Relations, Terms	Given implicitly by a functor, e.g. $T(X) = \prod_i (B_i + C_i \times X)^{A_i}$
Base Set \mathcal{B}	arbitrary A_i, B_i, C_i , fixed by T
Abstract State	Carrier set U and attributes A
Interpretation in a state	Coalgebra $c : U \rightarrow T(U)$ (incl. $c_i : U \times A_i \rightarrow B_i + C_i \times U$)
Initial states \mathcal{I}	Initial element $u_0 \in U$
Transitions τ_Π	Coalgebra $c : U \rightarrow T(U)$
Composition	Monad M_{ASM}
Seq. of states or $\Delta(\Pi, I), I \in \mathcal{I}$	maps of c_i to terminal coalgebra

First Attempt to Model ASMs using Coalgebra

ASM	Coalgebra
Vocabulary: Functions, Relations, Terms	Given implicitly by a functor, e.g. $T(X) = \prod_i (B_i + C_i \times X)^{A_i}$
Base Set \mathcal{B}	arbitrary A_i, B_i, C_i , fixed by T
Abstract State	Carrier set U and attributes A
Interpretation in a state	Coalgebra $c : U \rightarrow T(U)$ (incl. $c_i : U \times A_i \rightarrow B_i + C_i \times U$)
Initial states \mathcal{I}	Initial element $u_0 \in U$
Transitions τ_Π	Coalgebra $c : U \rightarrow T(U)$
Composition	Monad M_{ASM}
Seq. of states or $\Delta(\Pi, I), I \in \mathcal{I}$	maps of c_i to terminal coalgebra
Equivalence	Bisimilarity

Next Steps

- ▶ Precise coalgebraic definition
- ▶ Terminal coalgebra for ASMs used in BIOMICS
- ▶ Find Feasible ASM Monad
- ▶ Kleisli and/or Eilenberg Moore categories for ASMs?
- ▶ Bialgebras for ASM Modelling?
- ▶ Modelling interaction of ASMs with the environment

Conclusions

- ▶ Mapping ASMs to coalgebras appear to be *obvious*
- ▶ Expressiveness of ASMs complicate coalgebraic definition
- ▶ New insights may not be new but have coalgebraic grounding
- ▶ Algebraic/coalgebraic basis can become essential for BIOMICS
- ▶ BIOMICS may invert the intended use of ASMs (from *synthesis* to *analysis*)
 - ▶ Derive BIOMICS interaction machine from ASMs through (co)algebraic refinement
 - ▶ Express bio-chemical pathways using ASMs