

Complexity of solving equations in finite algebras

Gábor Horváth

University of Debrecen, Hungary

July 20, 2015

The equation solvability problem

fixed finite algebra \mathcal{A}

Equation solvability problem

Input: two polynomials p_1, p_2 over \mathcal{A}

Question: is $p_1 = p_2$ solvable or not?

Always decidable.

What is the complexity?

Always in NP.

The equivalence (identity checking) problem

fixed finite algebra \mathcal{A}

Identity

two polynomials p_1, p_2 over \mathcal{A}

$$p_1 \approx p_2 \iff \begin{array}{l} \text{for every } a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{A} \\ p_1(a_1, \dots, a_n) = p_2(a_1, \dots, a_n) \end{array}$$

Equivalence problem (identity checking problem)

Input: two polynomials p_1, p_2 over \mathcal{A}

Question: is $p_1 \approx p_2$ or not?

What is the complexity?

Always in coNP.

Easy observations about rings

- ▶ $p_1 \approx p_2 \iff p_1 - p_2 \approx 0$
- ▶ $p_1 = p_2$ solvable $\iff p_1 - p_2 = 0$ solvable

solvability in $P \implies$ equivalence in P

- ▶ $\mathcal{R} = \{r_0, r_1, \dots, r_N\}$
- ▶ $r_0 = 0$
- ▶ $p(x) \not\approx 0 \iff p(x) = r_i$ solvable for some $1 \leq i$
- ▶ solving $p(x) = r_1$, solving $p(x) = r_2, \dots$, solving $p(x) = r_N$
 \implies deciding $p(x) \approx 0$

solvability in P over $\mathcal{R} \implies$ solvability in P over \mathcal{R}/\mathcal{I}

$f(x) = 0$ over $\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{I} \iff f(x) = r_i \in \mathcal{I}$ over \mathcal{R}

Easy observations about rings (cont.)

equivalence in $P \implies$ equation solvability in P ???

- ▶ not in general
- ▶ Klíma: monoid M
equivalence over M is in P
equations solvability over M is NP-complete

Finite field \mathcal{F}_q

- ▶ $a \neq 0 \iff a^{q-1} = 1$
- ▶ $a = 0 \iff a^{q-1} \neq 1$
- ▶ $p(x) = 0$ is solvable $\iff p(x)^{q-1} \not\approx 1$

Easy observations about rings (cont.)

equivalence in $P \implies$ equation solvability in P ???

- ▶ not in general
- ▶ Klíma: monoid M
equivalence over M is in P
equations solvability over M is NP-complete

Finite field \mathcal{F}_q

- ▶ $a \neq 0 \iff a^{q-1} = 1$
- ▶ $a = 0 \iff a^{q-1} \neq 1$
- ▶ $p(x) = 0$ is solvable $\iff p(x)^{q-1} \not\approx 1$
 $p_1(x) = 0,$
- ▶ $\begin{matrix} \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ p_k(x) = 0 \end{matrix}$ is solvable $\iff (p_1(x) \dots p_k(x))^{q-1} \not\approx 1$

Rings

Theorem (Hunt, Stearnes (1990), Burris, Lawrence (1993))

\mathcal{R} is nilpotent \implies equivalence is in P

\mathcal{R} is not nilpotent \implies equivalence, solvability is (co)NP-complete

Theorem (Horváth (2011))

\mathcal{R} is nilpotent \implies solvability is in P

Rings

Theorem (Hunt, Stearnes (1990), Burris, Lawrence (1993))

\mathcal{R} is nilpotent \implies equivalence is in P

\mathcal{R} is not nilpotent \implies equivalence, solvability is (co)NP-complete

Theorem (Horváth (2011))

\mathcal{R} is nilpotent \implies solvability is in P

Proof

Ramsey's theorem, running time:

$$n^{|\mathcal{R}|^{|\mathcal{R}|^{|\mathcal{R}|^{\dots}}}}$$

Rings

Theorem (Hunt, Stearnes (1990), Burris, Lawrence (1993))

\mathcal{R} is nilpotent \implies equivalence is in P

\mathcal{R} is not nilpotent \implies equivalence, solvability is (co)NP-complete

Theorem (Horváth (2011))

\mathcal{R} is nilpotent \implies solvability is in P

Proof

Ramsey's theorem, running time:

$$n^{|\mathcal{R}|^{|\mathcal{R}|^{|\mathcal{R}|^{\dots}}}}$$

Theorem (Károlyi, Szabó (2015))

\mathcal{R} is nilpotent \implies solvability is in P

(running time: $n^{|\mathcal{R}| \cdot k}$)

\mathbb{Z}_2 Equivalence is coNP-complete

\mathbb{Z}_2

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \text{Boolean-algebra } \mathcal{B} & \begin{array}{l} x \wedge y \leftrightarrow x \cdot y \\ x \vee y \leftrightarrow x + y + xy \\ \bar{x} \leftrightarrow 1 - x \end{array} & \mathbb{Z}_2 \end{array}$$

polynomial reduction to 3-SAT:

$$(x_1 \vee x_2 \vee x_3) \wedge (x_4 \vee x_5 \vee \bar{x}_6) \wedge \dots \rightsquigarrow$$

$$((x_1 + x_2 + x_1 x_2) + x_3 + x_3(x_1 + x_2 + x_1 x_2)) \cdot$$

$$((x_4 + x_5 + x_4 x_5) + (1 - x_6) + (1 - x_6)(x_4 + x_5 + x_4 x_5)) \cdot \dots \stackrel{?}{\approx} 0$$

Equation solvability

NP-complete: same

$\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ Equivalence is coNP-complete

$\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{Z}_2)$

$$(AB - BA)^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Boolean-algebra } \mathcal{B} \\ x \wedge y \leftrightarrow x \cdot y \\ x \vee y \leftrightarrow x + y + xy \\ \bar{x} \leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} - x \end{array} \quad \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{Z}_2)$$

polynomial reduction to 3-SAT:

$$(x_1 \vee x_2 \vee x_3) \wedge (x_4 \vee x_5 \vee \bar{x}_6) \wedge \dots \rightsquigarrow$$

$$((x_1 + x_2 + x_1x_2) + x_3 + x_3(x_1 + x_2 + x_1x_2)) \cdot$$

$$((x_4 + x_5 + x_4x_5) + (1 - x_6) + (1 - x_6)(x_4 + x_5 + x_4x_5)) \cdot \dots \stackrel{?}{\approx} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$x_i = (a_i b_i - b_i a_i)^2$$

Equation solvability

NP-complete: same

\mathbb{Z}_2 is not nilpotent \implies equivalence is coNP-complete ???

Something is fishy...

$x_i^2 \equiv x_i$ in $\mathbb{Z}_2 \implies$ long division

everybody can do long division by $(x_i^2 - x_i)$:

$x_i^k \rightsquigarrow x_i$

\mathbb{Z}_2 is not nilpotent \implies equivalence is coNP-complete ???

Something is fishy...

$x_i^2 \equiv x_i$ in $\mathbb{Z}_2 \implies$ long division

everybody can do long division by $(x_i^2 - x_i)$:

$x_i^k \rightsquigarrow x_i$

Example ($p(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ sums of monomials)

$x_1 x_2^3 + x_1 + x_2 x_1 x_3 + x_{19}$

~~$(x_1 + x_2)^n$~~

\mathbb{Z}_2 is not nilpotent \implies equivalence is coNP-complete ???

Something is fishy...

$x_i^2 \equiv x_i$ in $\mathbb{Z}_2 \implies$ long division

everybody can do long division by $(x_i^2 - x_i)$:

$x_i^k \rightsquigarrow x_i$

Example ($p(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ sums of monomials)

$x_1 x_2^3 + x_1 + x_2 x_1 x_3 + x_{19}$

~~$(x_1 + x_2)^n$~~

sigma equivalence problem

sigma equation solvability problem

Sigma equivalence for rings

Theorem (Lawrence, Willard, 1997)

\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{J} is commutative \implies sigma equivalence, solvability are in P

\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{J} is not commutative \implies szigma equivalence, solvability are (co)NP-complete

Sigma equivalence for rings

Theorem (Lawrence, Willard, 1997)

\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{J} is commutative \implies sigma equivalence, solvability are in P

\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{J} is not commutative \implies szigma equivalence, solvability are (co)NP-complete

Sigma equivalence for rings

Conjecture (Lawrence, Willard (1997))

\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{J} is commutative \implies sigma equivalence, solvability are in P

\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{J} is not commutative \implies szigma equivalence, solvability are (co)NP-complete

Sigma equivalence for rings

Conjecture (Lawrence, Willard (1997))

\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{J} is commutative \implies sigma equivalence, solvability are in P

\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{J} is not commutative \implies szigma equivalence, solvability are (co)NP-complete

Theorem (Szabó, Vértesi (2004, 2011))

\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{J} is not commutative \implies sigma equivalence, solvability are (co)NP-complete

Sigma equivalence for rings

Conjecture (Lawrence, Willard (1997))

\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{J} is commutative \implies sigma equivalence, solvability are in P

\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{J} is not commutative \implies szigma equivalence, solvability are (co)NP-complete

Theorem (Szabó, Vértési (2004, 2011))

\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{J} is not commutative \implies sigma equivalence, solvability are (co)NP-complete

Theorem (Horváth (2012))

\mathcal{R} is commutative \implies sigma equivalence is in P

Sigma equivalence for rings

Conjecture (Lawrence, Willard (1997))

\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{J} is commutative \implies sigma equivalence, solvability are in P

\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{J} is not commutative \implies szigma equivalence, solvability are (co)NP-complete

Theorem (Szabó, Vértesi (2004, 2011))

\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{J} is not commutative \implies sigma equivalence, solvability are (co)NP-complete

Theorem (Horváth (2012))

\mathcal{R} is commutative \implies sigma equivalence is in P

Theorem (Horváth, Lawrence, Willard (2015))

\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{J} is commutative \implies sigma equivalence, solvability are in P

Commutative Rings

Theorem (Pierce)

\mathcal{R} is a commutative ring $\implies \mathcal{R} = \bigoplus \mathcal{R}_i \oplus \mathcal{N}$,
where \mathcal{R}_i is local, \mathcal{N} is nilpotent.

- ▶ Equivalence/solvability can be checked for components.
- ▶ Nilpotent case is easy (bounded substitution).
- ▶ Main case: local rings.

Local Rings

Definition

\mathcal{R} is local iff there is a unique maximal ideal in \mathcal{R} .

Examples

- ▶ \mathcal{F}_q
- ▶ \mathbb{Z}_{p^α}
- ▶ $\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{F}_q & \mathcal{F}_q \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

Properties

- ▶ \mathcal{J} is the unique maximal ideal
- ▶ $\mathcal{R}^* = \mathcal{R} \setminus \mathcal{J}$
- ▶ $\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{J} \simeq \mathcal{F}_q$ if \mathcal{R} is commutative

Equivalence for \mathbb{Z}_p

$$f(\bar{x}) \approx 0 ?$$

$$x_i^p - x_i \approx 0$$

Lemma

$$f \approx 0 \iff f = \sum_i g_i \cdot (x_i^p - x_i)$$

dividing by $(x_i^p - x_i)$ is easy: decrease the exponents by $(p - 1)$

works for every finite field \mathcal{F}_q

Equation solvability for \mathcal{F}_q

$$f(x) = 0 \text{ is solvable} \iff f(x)^{q-1} \neq 1$$

can be calculated in $O(\|f\|^q)$ time

finding the solutions

$f(a_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n) = 0$ solvable?

Equation solvability for \mathcal{F}_q

$f(x) = 0$ is solvable $\iff f(x)^{q-1} \not\approx 1$

can be calculated in $O(\|f\|^q)$ time

finding the solutions

$f(a_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n) = 0$ solvable? NO

Equation solvability for \mathcal{F}_q

$$f(x) = 0 \text{ is solvable} \iff f(x)^{q-1} \not\approx 1$$

can be calculated in $O(\|f\|^q)$ time

finding the solutions

$f(a_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n) = 0$ solvable? NO

$f(a'_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n) = 0$ solvable?

Equation solvability for \mathcal{F}_q

$$f(x) = 0 \text{ is solvable} \iff f(x)^{q-1} \not\approx 1$$

can be calculated in $O(\|f\|^q)$ time

finding the solutions

$f(a_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n) = 0$ solvable? NO

$f(a'_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n) = 0$ solvable? YES

Equation solvability for \mathcal{F}_q

$$f(x) = 0 \text{ is solvable} \iff f(x)^{q-1} \neq 1$$

can be calculated in $O(\|f\|^q)$ time

finding the solutions

$f(a_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n) = 0$ solvable? NO

$f(a'_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n) = 0$ solvable? YES

$f(a'_1, a_2, x_3, \dots, x_n) = 0$ solvable? etc.

Equation solvability for \mathcal{F}_q

$$f(x) = 0 \text{ is solvable} \iff f(x)^{q-1} \not\approx 1$$

can be calculated in $O(\|f\|^q)$ time

finding the solutions

$f(a_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n) = 0$ solvable? NO

$f(a'_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n) = 0$ solvable? YES

$f(a'_1, a_2, x_3, \dots, x_n) = 0$ solvable? etc.

Gergő Borus (2013)

implemented in Singular starting with version 3-1-6

Equivalence for \mathbb{Z}_9

Separate \mathcal{R}/\mathcal{J} and \mathcal{J}

- ▶ unique maximal ideal is (3)
- ▶ $\mathbb{Z}_9/(3) = \mathbb{Z}_3 = \{-1, 0, 1\}$ (coset representation)
- ▶ $a = b + 3 \cdot c, \quad (b, c \in \{-1, 0, 1\})$
- ▶ $x_i = y_i + 3 \cdot z_i \quad (y_i, z_i \in \{-1, 0, 1\})$

Example

$$x_1 x_2 x_3 = (y_1 + 3z_1) \cdot (y_2 + 3z_2) \cdot (y_3 + 3z_3) = y_1 y_2 y_3 + 3z_1 y_2 y_3 + 3y_1 z_2 y_3 + 3y_1 y_2 z_3 + 3^2 z_1 z_2 y_3 + 3^2 z_1 y_2 z_3 + 3^2 y_1 z_2 z_3 + 3^3 z_1 z_2 z_3$$

\implies fast expansion, no exponential blowup

Equivalence for \mathbb{Z}_9 (cont.)

$$f(\bar{x}) = f_1(\bar{y}) + 3 \cdot f_2(\bar{y}, \bar{z}), \quad \bar{y}, \bar{z} \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$$

Check

$$f_1(\bar{y}) \approx 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_3 = \mathbb{Z}_9/(3),$$

$$f_2(\bar{y}, \bar{z}) \approx 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_3 = \{-1, 0, 1\}.$$

divide by $(y_i^3 - y_i)$

$$f_1(\bar{y}) = \sum \underbrace{(y_i^3 - y_i) g_i(\bar{y})}_{\approx 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_9 !!!} + g_0(\bar{y})$$

$$f_1(\bar{y}) \approx 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_9/(3) \iff g_0(\bar{y}) = 3 \cdot h_0(\bar{y}) \implies \text{move it into } f_2$$

Works for every \mathbb{Z}_{p^α}

Equation solvability for \mathbb{Z}_9

$$f(\bar{x}) = f_1(\bar{y}) + 3 \cdot f_2(\bar{y}, \bar{z}), \quad \bar{y}, \bar{z} \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$$

Check

$$f_1(\bar{y}) = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_3 = \mathbb{Z}_9/(3),$$

$$f_2(\bar{y}, \bar{z}) = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_3 = \{-1, 0, 1\}.$$

Equation solvability for \mathbb{Z}_9

$$f(\bar{x}) = f_1(\bar{y}) + 3 \cdot f_2(\bar{y}, \bar{z}), \quad \bar{y}, \bar{z} \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$$

Check

$$f_1(\bar{y}) = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_3 = \mathbb{Z}_9/(3),$$

$$f_2(\bar{y}, \bar{z}) = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_3 = \{-1, 0, 1\}.$$

Trouble!

$f_1(\bar{y})$ can attain 3 or -3 in \mathbb{Z}_9 , not only 0

Equation solvability for \mathbb{Z}_9

$$f(\bar{x}) = f_1(\bar{y}) + 3 \cdot f_2(\bar{y}, \bar{z}), \quad \bar{y}, \bar{z} \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$$

Check

$$f_1(\bar{y}) = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_3 = \mathbb{Z}_9/(3),$$

$$f_2(\bar{y}, \bar{z}) = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_3 = \{-1, 0, 1\}.$$

Trouble!

$f_1(\bar{y})$ can attain 3 or -3 in \mathbb{Z}_9 , not only 0

Example ($a^3 \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ for every $a \in \mathbb{Z}_9$ and $a^3 - a \in (3)$)

Equation solvability for \mathbb{Z}_9

$$f(\bar{x}) = f_1(\bar{y}) + 3 \cdot f_2(\bar{y}, \bar{z}), \quad \bar{y}, \bar{z} \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$$

Check

$$f_1(\bar{y}) = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_3 = \mathbb{Z}_9/(3),$$

$$f_2(\bar{y}, \bar{z}) = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_3 = \{-1, 0, 1\}.$$

Trouble!

$f_1(\bar{y})$ can attain 3 or -3 in \mathbb{Z}_9 , not only 0

Example ($a^3 \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ for every $a \in \mathbb{Z}_9$ and $a^3 - a \in (3)$)

$$f_1(\bar{y}) = y_1^2 + y_2 y_3$$

Equation solvability for \mathbb{Z}_9

$$f(\bar{x}) = f_1(\bar{y}) + 3 \cdot f_2(\bar{y}, \bar{z}), \quad \bar{y}, \bar{z} \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$$

Check

$$f_1(\bar{y}) = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_3 = \mathbb{Z}_9/(3),$$

$$f_2(\bar{y}, \bar{z}) = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_3 = \{-1, 0, 1\}.$$

Trouble!

$f_1(\bar{y})$ can attain 3 or -3 in \mathbb{Z}_9 , not only 0

Example ($a^3 \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ for every $a \in \mathbb{Z}_9$ and $a^3 - a \in (3)$)

$$f_1(\bar{y}) = y_1^2 + y_2 y_3$$

$$f_1(\bar{y})^3 = y_1^6 + y_2^3 y_3^3 + 3y_1^4 y_2 y_3 + 3y_1^2 y_2^2 y_3^2 \approx$$

$$y_1^2 + y_2 y_3 + 3(y_1^4 y_2 y_3 + y_1^2 y_2^2 y_3^2)$$

Equation solvability for \mathbb{Z}_9

$$f(\bar{x}) = f_1(\bar{y}) + 3 \cdot f_2(\bar{y}, \bar{z}), \quad \bar{y}, \bar{z} \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$$

Check

$$f_1(\bar{y}) = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_3 = \mathbb{Z}_9/(3),$$

$$f_2(\bar{y}, \bar{z}) = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_3 = \{-1, 0, 1\}.$$

Trouble!

$f_1(\bar{y})$ can attain 3 or -3 in \mathbb{Z}_9 , not only 0

Example ($a^3 \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ for every $a \in \mathbb{Z}_9$ and $a^3 - a \in (3)$)

$$f_1(\bar{y}) = y_1^2 + y_2 y_3$$

$$f_1(\bar{y})^3 = y_1^6 + y_2^3 y_3^3 + 3y_1^4 y_2 y_3 + 3y_1^2 y_2^2 y_3^2 \approx$$

$$y_1^2 + y_2 y_3 + 3(y_1^4 y_2 y_3 + y_1^2 y_2^2 y_3^2)$$

$$f_1(\bar{y})^3 \approx f_1(\bar{y}) + 3g(\bar{y})$$

Equation solvability for \mathbb{Z}_9

$$f(\bar{x}) = f_1(\bar{y}) + 3 \cdot f_2(\bar{y}, \bar{z}), \quad \bar{y}, \bar{z} \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$$

Check

$$f_1(\bar{y}) = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_3 = \mathbb{Z}_9/(3),$$

$$f_2(\bar{y}, \bar{z}) = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_3 = \{-1, 0, 1\}.$$

Trouble!

$f_1(\bar{y})$ can attain 3 or -3 in \mathbb{Z}_9 , not only 0

Example ($a^3 \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ for every $a \in \mathbb{Z}_9$ and $a^3 - a \in (3)$)

$$f_1(\bar{y}) = y_1^2 + y_2 y_3$$

$$f_1(\bar{y})^3 = y_1^6 + y_2^3 y_3^3 + 3y_1^4 y_2 y_3 + 3y_1^2 y_2^2 y_3^2 \approx$$

$$y_1^2 + y_2 y_3 + 3(y_1^4 y_2 y_3 + y_1^2 y_2^2 y_3^2)$$

$$f_1(\bar{y})^3 \approx f_1(\bar{y}) + 3g(\bar{y})$$

$$f_1(\bar{y}) \approx f_1(\bar{y})^3 - 3g(\bar{y}) \text{ AND } f_1(\bar{y})^3 \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$$

Equation solvability for \mathbb{Z}_9 (cont.)

$$f(\bar{x}) = f_1(\bar{y}) + 3 \cdot f_2(\bar{y}, \bar{z}), \quad \bar{y}, \bar{z} \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$$

$$f(\bar{x}) = f_1(\bar{y})^3 + 3 \cdot (f_2(\bar{y}, \bar{z}) - g(\bar{y})), \quad \bar{y}, \bar{z} \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$$

$$\overbrace{f_1(\bar{y})^3}^{\in\{-1,0,1\}} + \overbrace{3 \cdot (f_2(\bar{y}, \bar{z}) - g(\bar{y}))}^{\in\{-3,0,3\}} = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_9$$

$$\Downarrow$$

$$f_1(\bar{y})^3 = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_9$$

$$3 \cdot (f_2(\bar{y}, \bar{z}) - g(\bar{y})) = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_9$$

$$\Downarrow$$

$$f_1(\bar{y}) = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_9/(3) = \mathbb{Z}_3$$

$$f_2(\bar{y}, \bar{z}) - g(\bar{y}) = 0 \text{ in } \{-1, 0, 1\} = \mathbb{Z}_3$$

Works for every \mathbb{Z}_{p^α}

Generalize \mathcal{F}_q and \mathbb{Z}_{p^α}

\mathcal{F}_q

- ▶ $q = p^d$
- ▶ $m(x)$ irreducible of degree d
- ▶ $\mathcal{F}_q = \mathbb{Z}_p[x]/(m(x)) = \mathbb{Z}[x]/(p, m(x))$

Generalize \mathcal{F}_q and \mathbb{Z}_{p^α}

\mathcal{F}_q

- ▶ $q = p^d$
- ▶ $m(x)$ irreducible of degree d
- ▶ $\mathcal{F}_q = \mathbb{Z}_p[x]/(m(x)) = \mathbb{Z}[x]/(p, m(x))$

\mathbb{Z}_{p^α}

- ▶ $\mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha} = \mathbb{Z}/(p^\alpha)$

Generalize \mathcal{F}_q and \mathbb{Z}_{p^α}

\mathcal{F}_q

- ▶ $q = p^d$
- ▶ $m(x)$ irreducible of degree d
- ▶ $\mathcal{F}_q = \mathbb{Z}_p[x]/(m(x)) = \mathbb{Z}[x]/(p, m(x))$

\mathbb{Z}_{p^α}

- ▶ $\mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha} = \mathbb{Z}/(p^\alpha)$

Galois Ring

- ▶ $\mathcal{GR}(p^\alpha, q) = \mathbb{Z}[x]/(p^\alpha, m(x))$

Galois Rings

$$\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{GR}(p^\alpha, q) = \mathbb{Z}[x]/(p^\alpha, m(x))$$

- ▶ Raghavendran, Wilson
- ▶ $\text{char } \mathcal{R} = p^\alpha$
- ▶ $|\mathcal{R}| = q^\alpha$
- ▶ $\mathcal{J} = (p)$
- ▶ $\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{J} = F_q$

Equivalence, equation solvability

- ▶ $r \in \mathcal{R}$ of order $(q - 1)$
- ▶ $S = \{0, 1, r, r^2, \dots, r^{q-2}\}$ is a coset representation for \mathcal{R}/\mathcal{J}
($S = \{0, 1, -1\}$ for \mathbb{Z}_9)
- ▶ $y^q \approx y$ for $y \in S$, $a^{q^n} \in S$ for $a \in \mathcal{R}$, ...

Third example

$\mathcal{R} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{F}_q & \mathcal{F}_q \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is a local ring

- ▶ $\mathcal{F}_q = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{F}_q & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is a subring
- ▶ \mathcal{R} is a 2-dimensional module over \mathcal{F}_q

$$\mathcal{R} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{F}_q & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \oplus_m \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{F}_q \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- ▶ check equivalence/equation solvability for each component

Local rings

\mathcal{R} is a local ring

- ▶ \mathcal{GR} is a subring
- ▶ \mathcal{R} is a module over \mathcal{GR}
 \mathcal{R} is the sum of cyclic \mathcal{GR} -modules
- ▶ check equivalence/equation solvability for each component

Semigroups, monoids

- ▶ Seif, Szabó (2003, 2006)
- ▶ Klíma (2002, 2004, 2007, 2009, 2010, 2012)
- ▶ Tesson (2003)
- ▶ Kisielewicz (2004)
- ▶ Seif (2005)
- ▶ Plescheva, Vértesi (2006)
- ▶ Kitaev, Seif (2008)
- ▶ Almeida, Volkov, Goldberg (2009)
- ▶ Szabó, Vértesi (2004, 2004, 2010)
- ▶ ...

Groups

Theorem (Goldmann, Russell (1999), Horváth, Lawrence, Mérai, Szabó (2007))

G is not solvable \implies problems are (co)NP-complete.

Theorem (Goldmann, Russell (1999), Burris, Lawrence (2004), Horváth (2011))

G is nilpotent \implies problems are in P.

Meta-Abelian groups

Theorem (Horváth, Szabó (2006, 2012), Horváth (2015))

$G = A \rtimes B$, A, B are Abelian \implies equivalence is in P .
similar theorem for equation solvability, more technical

Example

S_3, A_4

$G = A \rtimes B$

Meta-Abelian groups

Theorem (Horváth, Szabó (2006, 2012), Horváth (2015))

$G = A \rtimes B$, A, B are Abelian \implies equivalence is in P .
similar theorem for equation solvability, more technical

Example

S_3, A_4

$G = A \rtimes B$

► $\varphi: B \rightarrow \text{Aut } A$

Meta-Abelian groups

Theorem (Horváth, Szabó (2006, 2012), Horváth (2015))

$G = A \rtimes B$, A, B are Abelian \implies equivalence is in P .
similar theorem for equation solvability, more technical

Example

S_3, A_4

$$G = A \rtimes B$$

- ▶ $\varphi: B \rightarrow \text{Aut } A$
- ▶ $S := \varphi(B)$

Meta-Abelian groups

Theorem (Horváth, Szabó (2006, 2012), Horváth (2015))

$G = A \rtimes B$, A, B are Abelian \implies equivalence is in P .
similar theorem for equation solvability, more technical

Example

S_3, A_4

$$G = A \rtimes B$$

- ▶ $\varphi: B \rightarrow \text{Aut } A$
- ▶ $\mathcal{S} := \varphi(B)$
- ▶ $\mathcal{R} = \langle \mathcal{S} \rangle \leq \text{End } A$, commutative if B is commutative

Meta-Abelian groups

Theorem (Horváth, Szabó (2006, 2012), Horváth (2015))

$G = A \rtimes B$, A, B are Abelian \implies equivalence is in P .
similar theorem for equation solvability, more technical

Example

S_3, A_4

$G = A \rtimes B$

- ▶ $\varphi: B \rightarrow \text{Aut } A$
- ▶ $\mathcal{S} := \varphi(B)$
- ▶ $\mathcal{R} = \langle \mathcal{S} \rangle \leq \text{End } A$, commutative if B is commutative
- ▶ reduces to sigma problems over \mathcal{R} , BUT

Meta-Abelian groups

Theorem (Horváth, Szabó (2006, 2012), Horváth (2015))

$G = A \rtimes B$, A, B are Abelian \implies equivalence is in P .
similar theorem for equation solvability, more technical

Example

S_3, A_4

$G = A \rtimes B$

- ▶ $\varphi: B \rightarrow \text{Aut } A$
- ▶ $\mathcal{S} := \varphi(B)$
- ▶ $\mathcal{R} = \langle \mathcal{S} \rangle \leq \text{End } A$, commutative if B is commutative
- ▶ reduces to sigma problems over \mathcal{R} , BUT
Substitutions only from \mathcal{S}

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \stackrel{?}{\approx} 0, \quad (x_i \in \mathcal{S})$$

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \stackrel{?}{=} 0, \quad (x_i \in \mathcal{S})$$

Sigma problems with substitutions

Theorem (Horváth (2015))

\mathcal{R} is a commutative ring, $\mathcal{S} \leq \mathcal{R}^\times$

\implies sigma equivalence with substitutions from \mathcal{S} is in P

Proof.



Sigma problems with substitutions

Theorem (Horváth (2015))

\mathcal{R} is a commutative ring, $\mathcal{S} \leq \mathcal{R}^\times$

\implies sigma equivalence with substitutions from \mathcal{S} is in P

Proof.

$$\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{R}_k \quad \mathcal{R}_i \text{ local (Pierce)}$$



Sigma problems with substitutions

Theorem (Horváth (2015))

\mathcal{R} is a commutative ring, $\mathcal{S} \leq \mathcal{R}^\times$

\implies sigma equivalence with substitutions from \mathcal{S} is in P

Proof.

$$\mathcal{R}^\times = \mathcal{R}_1^\times \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{R}_k^\times \quad \mathcal{R}_i \text{ local (Pierce)}$$



Sigma problems with substitutions

Theorem (Horváth (2015))

\mathcal{R} is a commutative ring, $\mathcal{S} \leq \mathcal{R}^\times$

\implies sigma equivalence with substitutions from \mathcal{S} is in P

Proof.

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{S} \\ \leq \\ \mathcal{R}^\times \end{array} = \mathcal{R}_1^\times \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{R}_k^\times \quad \mathcal{R}_i \text{ local} \\ \text{(Pierce)}$$



Sigma problems with substitutions

Theorem (Horváth (2015))

\mathcal{R} is a commutative ring, $\mathcal{S} \leq \mathcal{R}^\times$

\implies sigma equivalence with substitutions from \mathcal{S} is in P

Proof.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{S} & & \mathcal{S}_1 & & \dots & & \mathcal{S}_k \\ \leq & & \leq & & \dots & & \leq \\ \mathcal{R}^\times & = & \mathcal{R}_1^\times & \oplus & \dots & \oplus & \mathcal{R}_k^\times \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{R}_i \text{ local} \\ \text{(Pierce)} \end{array}$$



Sigma problems with substitutions

Theorem (Horváth (2015))

\mathcal{R} is a commutative ring, $\mathcal{S} \leq \mathcal{R}^\times$

\implies sigma equivalence with substitutions from \mathcal{S} is in P

Proof.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{S} & & \mathcal{S}_1 & & \dots & & \mathcal{S}_k \\ \leq & & \leq & & \dots & & \leq \\ \mathcal{R}^\times & = & \mathcal{R}_1^\times & \oplus & \dots & \oplus & \mathcal{R}_k^\times \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{R}_i \text{ local} \\ \text{(Pierce)} \end{array}$$

local rings \checkmark (similar proof as for $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{R}$)



Sigma problems with substitutions

Theorem (Horváth (2015))

\mathcal{R} is a commutative ring, $\mathcal{S} \leq \mathcal{R}^\times$

\implies sigma equivalence with substitutions from \mathcal{S} is in P

Proof.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{S} & & \mathcal{S}_1 & & \dots & & \mathcal{S}_k \\ \leq & & \leq & & \dots & & \leq \\ \mathcal{R}^\times & = & \mathcal{R}_1^\times & \oplus & \dots & \oplus & \mathcal{R}_k^\times \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{R}_i \text{ local} \\ \text{(Pierce)} \end{array}$$

local rings \checkmark (similar proof as for $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{R}$)
equivalence can be checked componentwise



Sigma problems with substitutions

Theorem (Horváth (2015))

\mathcal{R} is a commutative ring, $\mathcal{S} \leq \mathcal{R}^\times$

\implies sigma equivalence with substitutions from \mathcal{S} is in P

Proof.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{S} & & \mathcal{S}_1 & & \dots & & \mathcal{S}_k \\ \leq & & \leq & & \dots & & \leq \\ \mathcal{R}^\times & = & \mathcal{R}_1^\times & \oplus & \dots & \oplus & \mathcal{R}_k^\times \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{R}_i \text{ local} \\ \text{(Pierce)} \end{array}$$

local rings \checkmark (similar proof as for $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{R}$)

equivalence can be checked componentwise

equation solvability can be checked componentwise



Equation solvability componentwise?

Example

$$\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{Z}_{12} = \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4$$

$$\mathcal{S} = \{1, -1\} = \{(1, 1), (-1, -1)\}$$

$$x + 5 = 0$$

No solutions over \mathbb{Z}_{12} from $\{1, -1\}$, but

$x = 1$ is a solution in \mathbb{Z}_3

$x = -1$ is a solution in \mathbb{Z}_4

Trouble

$(1, -1) \in \mathcal{S}_1 \oplus \mathcal{S}_2$, but $(1, -1) \notin \mathcal{S}$

Sigma problems with substitutions

Theorem (Horváth (2015))

\mathcal{R} is a commutative ring, $\mathcal{S} \leq \mathcal{R}^\times$

\implies sigma equivalence with substitutions from \mathcal{S} is in P

Proof.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{S} & & \mathcal{S}_1 & & \dots & & \mathcal{S}_k \\ \leq & & \leq & & \dots & & \leq \\ \mathcal{R}^\times & = & \mathcal{R}_1^\times & \oplus & \dots & \oplus & \mathcal{R}_k^\times \end{array} \quad \mathcal{R}_i \text{ local (Pierce)}$$

local rings \checkmark (similar proof as for $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{R}$)

equivalence can be checked componentwise

equation solvability can be checked componentwise



Sigma problems with substitutions

Theorem (Horváth (2015))

\mathcal{R} is a commutative ring, $\mathcal{S} \leq \mathcal{R}^\times$

\implies sigma equivalence with substitutions from \mathcal{S} is in P

Proof.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{S} & = & \mathcal{S}_1 & \oplus & \dots & \oplus & \mathcal{S}_k \\ \leq & & \leq & & \dots & & \leq \\ \mathcal{R}^\times & = & \mathcal{R}_1^\times & \oplus & \dots & \oplus & \mathcal{R}_k^\times \end{array} \quad \mathcal{R}_i \text{ local (Pierce)}$$

local rings \checkmark (similar proof as for $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{R}$)

equivalence can be checked componentwise

equation solvability can be checked componentwise



Sigma problems with substitutions

Theorem (Horváth (2015))

\mathcal{R} is a commutative ring, $\mathcal{S} \leq \mathcal{R}^\times$

\implies sigma equivalence with substitutions from \mathcal{S} is in P

Proof.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{S} & = & \mathcal{S}_1 & \oplus & \dots & \oplus & \mathcal{S}_k \\ \leq & & \leq & & \dots & & \leq \\ \mathcal{R}^\times & = & \mathcal{R}_1^\times & \oplus & \dots & \oplus & \mathcal{R}_k^\times \end{array} \quad \mathcal{R}_i \text{ local (Pierce)}$$

local rings \checkmark (similar proof as for $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{R}$)

equivalence can be checked componentwise

equation solvability can be checked componentwise \checkmark



Sigma problems with substitutions

Theorem (Horváth (2015))

\mathcal{R} is a commutative ring, $\mathcal{S} \leq \mathcal{R}^\times$

\implies sigma equivalence with substitutions from \mathcal{S} is in P

$\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{S}_k$

\implies sigma equation solvability with substitutions from \mathcal{S} is in P

Proof.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{S} & = & \mathcal{S}_1 & \oplus & \dots & \oplus & \mathcal{S}_k \\ \leq & & \leq & & \dots & & \leq \\ \mathcal{R}^\times & = & \mathcal{R}_1^\times & \oplus & \dots & \oplus & \mathcal{R}_k^\times \end{array} \quad \mathcal{R}_i \text{ local (Pierce)}$$

local rings \checkmark (similar proof as for $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{R}$)

equivalence can be checked componentwise

equation solvability can be checked componentwise \checkmark



Open questions

Rings (sigma problems, substitutions from \mathcal{S})

- ▶ \mathcal{R} is not commutative
- ▶ equation solvability for $\mathcal{S} \neq \mathcal{S}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{S}_k$

Groups

- ▶ $S_4 = V \rtimes S_3$, $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{Z}^{2 \times 2}$ is not commutative, $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{R}^\times$
- ▶ $SL_2(3) = Q \rtimes Z_3$, Q is not Abelian
- ▶ $U(3, \mathbb{Z}_3) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_3^\times$, $U(3, \mathbb{Z}_3)$ is not Abelian
- ▶ $D_{12} = Z_{12} \rtimes Z_2$ ($\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{Z}_{12}$, $\mathcal{S} \neq \mathcal{S}_1 \oplus \mathcal{S}_2$) (equation solvability)
- ▶ $Z_3 \rtimes Q$ (equation solvability)
- ▶ $(Z_2 \times Z_2 \times Z_3) \rtimes Z_2$ (equation solvability)

Open questions

Rings (sigma problems, substitutions from \mathcal{S})

- ▶ \mathcal{R} is not commutative
- ▶ equation solvability for $\mathcal{S} \neq \mathcal{S}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{S}_k$

Groups

- ▶ $S_4 = V \rtimes S_3$, $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{Z}^{2 \times 2}$ is not commutative, $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{R}^\times$
- ▶ $SL_2(3) = Q \rtimes Z_3$, Q is not Abelian
- ▶ $U(3, \mathbb{Z}_3) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_3^\times$, $U(3, \mathbb{Z}_3)$ is not Abelian
- ▶ $D_{12} = Z_{12} \rtimes Z_2$ ($\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{Z}_{12}$, $\mathcal{S} \neq \mathcal{S}_1 \oplus \mathcal{S}_2$) (equation solvability)
- ▶ $Z_3 \rtimes Q$ (equation solvability)
- ▶ $(Z_2 \times Z_2 \times Z_3) \rtimes Z_2$ (equation solvability)

Theorem (Földvári (2015))

$SL_2(3), U(3, \mathbb{Z}_3) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_3^\times \implies$ problems are in P .

$$U(3, \mathcal{F}_3) \rtimes \mathcal{F}_3^\times$$

$$U(3, \mathcal{F}_3) \rtimes \mathcal{F}_3^\times = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & b \\ 0 & h & c \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_3, h \in \mathbb{F}_3^\times \right\} \leq T(3, \mathcal{F}_3)$$

Matrix multiplication in $U(3, \mathcal{F}_3) \rtimes \mathcal{F}_3^\times$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_1 & b_1 \\ 0 & h_1 & c_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_2 & b_2 \\ 0 & h_2 & c_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_2 + h_2 a_1 & b_2 + a_1 c_2 + b_1 \\ 0 & h_1 h_2 & h_1 c_2 + c_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Solvability in $U(3, \mathcal{F}_3) \rtimes \mathcal{F}_3^\times$

- ▶ question: $T = T_1 T_2 \dots T_n = 1$, substitute

$$T_k = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u_k & v_k \\ 0 & y_k & w_k \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

- ▶ matrix multiplication:

$$u = \sum_{k=1}^n u_k \prod_{i=k+1}^n y_i$$

$$w = \sum_{k=1}^n \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} y_i w_k$$

$$v = \sum_{k=1}^n v_k + \sum_{k=2}^n \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} u_l \prod_{i=l+1}^{k-1} y_i w_k$$

$$y = \prod_{k=1}^n y_k$$

- ▶ sigma equation solvability over \mathcal{F}_3 is in P

Semipattern group

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 & 0 & b \\ 0 & 1 & c & d \\ 0 & 0 & y & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & z \end{pmatrix} : a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{F}_q; x \in H_1; y, z \in H_2 \right\} \leq T(4, \mathbb{F}_q)$$

Theorem (Attila Földvári (2015))

semipattern groups \implies *equivalence, solvability is in P*

Corollary (Attila Földvári (2015))

\mathcal{R} is upper triangular matrix ring \implies *sigma equivalence, solvability is in P*

Nilpotent rings

Theorem (Wilson (1973))

\mathcal{R} is nilpotent, $\text{char } \mathcal{R} = p^\alpha \implies \mathcal{R}$ is a homomorphic image of

$$\begin{pmatrix} (p) & * & * \\ \vdots & \ddots & * \\ (p) & \dots & (p) \end{pmatrix},$$

where elements are from \mathbb{Z}_{p^α}

Corollary (Attila Földvári (2015))

\mathcal{R} is nilpotent \implies equivalence, solvability is in P

(futásidő: $\sim n^{|\mathcal{R}| \log |\mathcal{R}|}$)

Real open questions

Rings (sigma problems, substitutions from \mathcal{S})

- ▶ \mathcal{R} is not commutative
- ▶ equation solvability for $\mathcal{S} \neq \mathcal{S}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{S}_k$

Groups

- ▶ $S_4 = V \rtimes S_3$, $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{Z}^{2 \times 2}$ is not commutative, $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{R}^\times$
- ▶ $D_{12} = Z_{12} \rtimes Z_2$ ($\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{Z}_{12}$, $\mathcal{S} \neq \mathcal{S}_1 \oplus \mathcal{S}_2$) (equation solvability)
- ▶ $Z_3 \rtimes Q$ (equation solvability)
- ▶ $(Z_2 \times Z_2 \times Z_3) \rtimes Z_2$ (equation solvability)

Other algebras

- ▶ semigroups, monoids: a lot
- ▶ nearrings: ??? (general/sigma problems, substitutions from \mathcal{S})
- ▶ general algebras: ???

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the János Bolyai Research Scholarship of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, by the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under grant agreement no. 318202, and by the Hungarian Scientific Research Fund (OTKA) grant no. K109185.